## **RESEARCH ARTICLE SUMMARY**

#### SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

# Bug mapping and fitness testing of chemically synthesized chromosome X

Yi Wu,\* Bing-Zhi Li,\* Meng Zhao, Leslie A. Mitchell, Ze-Xiong Xie, Qiu-Hui Lin, Xia Wang, Wen-Hai Xiao, Ying Wang, Xiao Zhou, Hong Liu, Xia Li, Ming-Zhu Ding, Duo Liu, Lu Zhang, Bao-Li Liu, Xiao-Le Wu, Fei-Fei Li, Xiu-Tao Dong, Bin Jia, Wen-Zheng Zhang, Guo-Zhen Jiang, Yue Liu, Xue Bai, Tian-Qing Song, Yan Chen, Si-Jie Zhou, Rui-Ying Zhu, Feng Gao, Zheng Kuang, Xuya Wang, Michael Shen, Kun Yang, Giovanni Stracquadanio, Sarah M. Richardson, Yicong Lin, Lihui Wang, Roy Walker, Yisha Luo, Ping-Sheng Ma, Huanming Yang, Yizhi Cai, Junbiao Dai, Joel S. Bader, Jef D. Boeke, Ying-Jin Yuan<sup>†</sup>

**INTRODUCTION:** Design and construction of an extensively modified yeast genome is a direct means to interrogate the integrity, comprehensiveness, and accuracy of the knowledge amassed by the yeast community to date. The international synthetic yeast genome project (Sc2.0) aims to build an entirely designer, synthetic *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. The synthetic genome is designed to increase genome stability and genetic flexibility while maintaining cell fitness near that of the wild type. A major challenge for a genome synthesis lies in identifying and eliminating fitness-reducing sequence variants referred to as "bugs."

**RATIONALE:** Debugging is imperative for successfully building a fit strain encoding a synthetic genome. However, it is time-consuming and laborious to replace wild-type genes and measure strain fitness systematically. The Sc2.0 PCRTag system, which specifies recoded sequences within open reading frames (ORFs), is designed to distinguish synthetic from wild-type DNA in a simple polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. This system provides an opportunity to efficiently map bugs to the related genes by using a pooling strategy and subse-

quently correct them. Further, as we identify bugs in designer sequences, we will identify gaps in our knowledge and gain a deeper understanding of genome biology, allowing refinement of future design strategies.

**RESULTS:** We chemically synthesized yeast chromosome X, synX, designed to be 707,459 base pairs. A high-throughput mapping strategy called pooled PCRTag mapping (PoPM) was developed to identify unexpected bugs during chromosome assembly. With this method, the genotypes of pools of colonies with normal or defective fitness are assessed by PCRTag analysis. The PoPM method exploits the patchwork structure of synthetic and wild-type sequences observed in the majority of putative synthetic DNA integrants or meiotic progeny derived from synthetic/wild-type strain backcross. PCRTag analysis with both synthetic and wild-type specific primers, carried out with genomic DNA extracted from the two pools of clones (normal fitness versus a specific growth defect), can be used to identify regions of synthetic DNA missing from the normal fitness pool and, analogously, sections of wild-type DNA absent from the specific growth-defect pool. In this way, the defect can be efficiently mapped to a very small overlapping region, and subsequent systematic analysis of designed changes in that region can be used to identify the bug. Several bugs were identified and corrected, including a growth

#### ON OUR WEBSITE Read the full article at http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/ science.aaf4706

defect mapping to a specific synonymously recoded PCRTag sequence in the essential *FIP1* ORF and the effect of introducing a loxPsym site that unexpectedly altered the

the promoter function of a nearby gene, *ATP2*. In addition, meiotic crossover was employed to repair the massive duplications and rearrangements in the synthetic chromosome. The debugged synX strain exhibited high fitness under a variety of conditions tested and in competitive growth with the wild-type strain.

**CONCLUSION:** Synthetic yeast chromosome X was chemically synthesized from scratch, a rigorous, incremental step toward complete synthesis of the whole yeast genome. Thousands of designer modifications in synX revealed extensive flexibility of the yeast genome. We developed an efficient mapping method, PoPM, to identify bugs during genome synthesis, generalizable to any watermarked synthetic chromosome, and several details of yeast biology were uncovered by debugging. Considering the numerous gene-associated PCRTags available in the synthetic chromosomes, PoPM may represent a powerful tool to map interesting phenotypes of mutated synthetic strains or even mutated wild-type strains to the relevant genes. It may also be useful to study yeast genetic interactions when an unexpected phenotype is generated by alterations in two or more genes, substantially expanding understanding of yeast genomic and cellular functions. The PoPM method is also likely to be useful for mapping phenotype(s) resulting from the genome SCRaMbLE system.

The list of author affiliations is available in the full article online. \*These authors contributed equally to this work. †Corresponding author. Email: yjugan@tju.edu.cn Cite this article as Y. Wu et al., Science 355, eaaf4706 (2017). DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf4706



### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

# Bug mapping and fitness testing of chemically synthesized chromosome X

Yi Wu,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Bing-Zhi Li,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Meng Zhao,<sup>1,2</sup> Leslie A. Mitchell,<sup>3</sup> Ze-Xiong Xie,<sup>1,2</sup> Qiu-Hui Lin,<sup>1,2</sup> Xia Wang,<sup>1,2</sup> Wen-Hai Xiao,<sup>1,2</sup> Ying Wang,<sup>1,2</sup> Xiao Zhou,<sup>1,2</sup> Hong Liu,<sup>1,2</sup> Xia Li,<sup>1,2</sup> Ming-Zhu Ding,<sup>1,2</sup> Duo Liu,<sup>1,2</sup> Lu Zhang,<sup>1,2</sup> Bao-Li Liu,<sup>1,2</sup> Xiao-Le Wu,<sup>1,2</sup> Fei-Fei Li,<sup>1,2</sup> Xiu-Tao Dong,<sup>1,2</sup> Bin Jia,<sup>1,2</sup> Wen-Zheng Zhang,<sup>1,2</sup> Guo-Zhen Jiang,<sup>1,2</sup> Yue Liu,<sup>1,2</sup> Xue Bai,<sup>1,2</sup> Tian-Qing Song,<sup>1,2</sup> Yan Chen,<sup>1,2</sup> Si-Jie Zhou,<sup>1,2</sup> Rui-Ying Zhu,<sup>1,2</sup> Feng Gao,<sup>1,2</sup> Zheng Kuang,<sup>3</sup> Xuya Wang,<sup>3</sup> Michael Shen,<sup>3</sup> Kun Yang,<sup>4</sup> Giovanni Stracquadanio,<sup>4,5</sup> Sarah M. Richardson,<sup>4</sup> Yicong Lin,<sup>6</sup> Lihui Wang,<sup>6</sup> Roy Walker,<sup>7</sup> Yisha Luo,<sup>7</sup> Ping-Sheng Ma,<sup>1,2</sup> Huanming Yang,<sup>8,9</sup> Yizhi Cai,<sup>7</sup> Junbiao Dai,<sup>6</sup> Joel S. Bader,<sup>4</sup> Jef D. Boeke,<sup>3</sup> Ying-Jin Yuan<sup>1,2</sup>†

Debugging a genome sequence is imperative for successfully building a synthetic genome. As part of the effort to build a designer eukaryotic genome, yeast synthetic chromosome X (synX), designed as 707,459 base pairs, was synthesized chemically. SynX exhibited good fitness under a wide variety of conditions. A highly efficient mapping strategy called pooled PCRTag mapping (PoPM), which can be generalized to any watermarked synthetic chromosome, was developed to identify genetic alterations that affect cell fitness ("bugs"). A series of bugs were corrected that included a large region bearing complex amplifications, a growth defect mapping to a recoded sequence in *FIP1*, and a loxPsym site affecting promoter function of *ATP2*. PoPM is a powerful tool for synthetic yeast genome debugging and an efficient strategy for phenotype-genotype mapping.

accharomyces cerevisiae was the first eukaryote to have its genome fully sequenced (1). Our understanding of yeast physiology, metabolism, and network biology is extensive (2–5). Design and construction of an extensively modified yeast genome, Sc2.0, is a direct means to interrogate the integrity, comprehensiveness, and accuracy of knowledge amassed by the yeast community to date. However, a major challenge for a successful genome synthesis lies in identifying and eliminating fitnessreducing variants, sequences we refer to as "bugs" (6). This process can be time-consuming and laborious. Further, as we identify bugs in designer

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Systems Bioengineering (Ministry of Education), School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, PR China. <sup>2</sup>SynBio Research Platform, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, PR China. <sup>3</sup>Institute for Systems Genetics and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New York University (NYU) Langone Medical Center, New York City, NY 10016, USA. <sup>4</sup>High Throughput Biology Center and Department of Biomedical Engineering. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. <sup>5</sup>School of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester CO4 3SQ UK. 6Key laboratory of Industrial Biocatalysis (Ministry of Education), Center for Synthetic and Systems Biology, School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, PR China. <sup>7</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, Scotland, UK. <sup>8</sup>BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, 518083, PR China. <sup>9</sup>James D. Watson Institute of Genome Sciences, Hangzhou 310058, PR China. \*These authors contributed equally to this work. +Corresponding author. Email: vjyuan@tju.edu.cn

sequences, we will identify gaps in our knowledge and gain a deeper understanding of genome biology, allowing refinement of future design strategies.

The international Synthetic Yeast Genome Project (Sc2.0) aims to build an entirely synthetic, designer S. cerevisiae genome. The synthetic genome is designed to increase genome stability and genetic flexibility while maintaining cell fitness near that of the wild type. Here, we describe chemical synthesis of yeast chromosome X, synX, designed according to Sc2.0 principles (7, 8). We have developed an efficient strategy to map bugs, pooled PCRTag mapping, PoPM, which enabled us to identify fitness-reducing sequence alterations and subsequently revert them to the wild-type sequence. The debugged synX strain has high fitness under all conditions tested and in competitive growth with wild-type cells. Our detailed analysis of unexpected phenotypes has led to new understanding of genome structure and regulation.

#### Design and assembly of synX

The sequence of synX was created in silico with the genome-editing suite BioStudio, starting from the native sequence of chromosome X (8–10) (fig. S1). Modifications in synX (Fig. 1A) include deletion of retrotransposons, subtelomeric repeats, and introns. In addition, 24 tRNA genes were removed and a single-copy tRNA gene, tR(CCU)J(II), was relocated to the *HO* locus (table S1). All TAG stop codons were replaced by TAA. A total of 490 pairs of synonymous sequences in open reading frames (ORFs), or PCRTags, served as a DNA watermarking system. We inserted 245 loxPsym sites in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of nonessential genes and at the locations of most deleted features to enable the inducible evolution system SCRaMbLE (synthetic chromosome rearrangement and modification by loxPmediated evolution) (8, 12, 13). SynX was split into 18 "megachunks" (A to R) of 30 to 60 kb DNA fragments and further divided into 171 "minichunks" of ~5-kb DNA fragments. All of the minichunks were synthesized by DNA synthesis providers and used for stepwise incorporation to replace native chromosome X (fig. S2 and table S2) (10, 14). PCRTag analysis verified the presence of all synthetic amplicons and the corresponding absence of wild-type amplicons, consistent with complete incorporation (Fig. 1B and fig. S3).

#### Characterization of synX

The initial designed sequence of svnX (veast chr10 3 37) included three fitness-reducing bugs that we mapped to designer changes. The synX designed sequence was modified to reflect that the three designer changes were reverted to the wild-type sequence (table S3). Sequencing of synX strain (yYW0077) identified 11 sequence variants (table S4) and three residual wild-type regions compared to the designed chromosome (yeast\_chr10\_3\_40); the latter three were corrected to the designed sequence in the physical strain (fig. S4). Two unexpected massive structural variations were also identified unexpectedly, and subsequently restored to the desired structure by an intercross. We observed that the native 2micron plasmids were completely lost in synX strain yYW0077, as revealed by whole-genome sequencing and RNA sequencing (figs. S5 and S6). There was no apparent growth defect associated with loss of the 2-micron plasmid (fig. S7), and the 2-micron plasmid was reintroduced to the synX strain yYW0115 as a consequence of the intercross to remove the massive duplication. The 2micron plasmid was previously reported to have no impact on veast life span, and it is hypothesized that this element may be a form of parasitic DNA (15, 16). In the intercrossed synX strain, 2-micron levels were normal (fig. S5), suggesting there are no negative effects associated with 2micron maintenance. It is possible that a stochastic 2-micron loss occurred in one or more of the many single-colony purification steps performed during the construction of synX.

The debugged synX strain yYW0115 exhibited phenotypes very similar to those of the wild type (BY4741) under a variety of culture conditions (Fig. 1C). Notably, synX strain yYW0115 exhibited an elongated cell morphology. However, after an endoreduplication backcross to wild type, the morphology of synX cells became similar to that of wild type (fig. S8). To detect more subtle differences in growth properties, we implemented a competitive growth assay to characterize fitness of synX with high sensitivity (Fig. 1D) (*17*). Cells encoding synX (yYW0115) and native chrX (BY4741) were tracked by red fluorescent protein (RFP) and green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression, respectively. After inoculation at a



Fig. 1. Characterization and fitness testing of synX strain. (A) Design overview of synthetic chromosome X. (B) PCRTag analysis of synX (a pericentromeric region). Analysis of the complete set of PCRTags is shown in fig. S3. (C) Growth fitness of synX strain yYW0115 on various types of media.
(D) Competitive growth assay of synX strain yYW0115 and native strain BY4741. Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry to quantify the ratio of RFP-positive to GFP-positive cells. Relative growth rate was calculated based on the ratio of synX to BY4741 at each time point.

1:1 cell ratio, the two strains maintained a steady population ratio over a 72-hour coculture period (Fig. 1D), suggesting identical growth properties under the competitive growth conditions tested.

# Mapping bugs by using pooled PCRTag mapping

We have now encountered sparsely distributed bugs in most assembled Sc2.0 chromosomes (14, 18-20). One efficient strategy to debug synthetic chromosomes is to correlate genotype and phenotype of many putative integrants after integration of each megachunk (typically 30 to 60 kb of synthetic DNA). In this way, slow growth defects may be identified immediately and assigned to a specific segment of synthetic DNA. We have developed a high-throughput bug mapping strategy called pooled PCRTag mapping (PoPM) (Fig. 2A). Here, the genotype of pools of colonies derived from integration experiments is assessed by using PCRTag analysis, a simple polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assay that distinguishes synthetic DNA from wild-type DNA. The PoPM method exploits the patchwork structure of synthetic and wild-type sequences observed in target regions of the majority of putative synthetic DNA integrants. After first phenotyping all integrants under selective conditions (e.g., high temperature and/or growth on a nonfermentable carbon source), each clone is then binned into one of two pools-normal fitness or a specific growth defect. PCRTag analysis with both synthetic and wild-type primer pairs, carried out with genomic DNA extracted from the two pools of clones, can be used to identify regions of synthetic DNA missing from the normal fitness pool and, analogously, sections of wild-type DNA absent from the specific growth defect pool. In this way, the defect can be efficiently mapped to a very small region, and subsequent systematic analysis of designed changes in that region can be used to identify the bug. We can then update the design and correct the physical sequence. This strategy is generic and may be applied to the construction and debugging of any watermarked chromosome or even multisynthetic watermarked chromosome strains. Further, PoPM can be applied to meiotic progeny derived from synthetic/wild-type strain backcross experiments, which can markedly reduce the effort associated with PCRTagging of many tetrads (14). PoPM can also be used to map synthetic sick or lethal interactions indicated by the absence of two or more wild-type DNA fragments from the growth defect pool without changes in the normal fitness pool (fig. S9A). Similarly, PoPM can be used to map multiple bugs simultaneously, indicated by the absence of multiply synthetic DNA fragments from the normal fitness pool without changes in the defect pool (fig. S9B). In addition, quantitative PCR (qPCR) can improve the PoPM strategy to avoid noisy amplification due to a very few maverick clones.

We used PoPM to debug synX in two separate instances during assembly. First, by applying PoPM to the transformants derived from incorporation of megachunk P, we identified the loxPsym site in the 3' UTR of YJR120W as being responsible for a growth defect of semisynthetic synX strain (A-P) (vYW0062) on YPGE (Fig. 2B). Because of the short gap [131 base pairs (bp)] between the terminator of YJR120W and the neighboring coding region of ATP2 (9), we hypothesized that insertion of the loxPsym site in the 3' UTR of YJR120W, annotated as a "protein of unknown function" that codes for an ORF of 116 codons, disrupts the promoter region of ATP2, which encodes a subunit of the mitochondrial F1F0 ATP synthase (21). ATP2 mRNA expression in semi-synX(A-P) was decreased to about 40% of that observed in the wild-type strain; deletion of the loxPsym site in the semi-synX(A-P) strain restored expression of ATP2 and repaired the growth defect on YPGE (Fig. 2B). We conclude that YJR120W is not a functional gene at all, but rather a "dubious ORF," and that its deletion produces a transcriptional hypomorph of ATP2, a situation variously referred to as an "off by one error" (22) or "neighboring gene effect" (23).

A growth defect on YPD at 30°C was noted in strain semi-synX(A-O) (yYW0055) (Fig. 3A). Applying PoPM to the transformants derived from incorporation of megachunk O, we mapped this to the reverse PCRTag within the synthetic FIP1 allele, a set of 10 synonymously recoded codons. By comparing wild type with synthetic sequences, we identified a putative binding site for transcription factor Rap1p in the synthetic sequence (Fig. 3B) (24-28). Rap1p binding within transcription units is known to mediate steric down-regulation of gene expression and was shown to lead to RNA polymerase stalling and a reduction in full-length transcript level similar to that observed in our RNA analysis of FIP1 (27). Replacement with individual wild-type codons across the entire PCRTag showed that codons disrupting the Rap1p binding site repaired the growth defect and restored FIP1 mRNA level (Fig. 3C). PCRTag swaps in synVI and synXII are also reported to lead to growth defects (14, 18).

#### Massive duplications and rearrangements

Electrophoretic karyotype analysis of the synthetic chromosome X strain synX(A-R) (yYW0077) by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis revealed a considerably slower-migrating species than expected for



**Fig. 2. Debugging by pooled PCRTag mapping.** (**A**) Flow diagram of pooled PCRTag mapping (PoPM). Chromosome X strains with patchworks of synthetic and native sequences, generated by incorporation of synthetic DNA minichunks (transformant library) or by backcross with wild-type (WT) cells (spore library), are subjected to phenotype testing under a selective condition (filled circle, high fitness; open circle, low fitness). PCRTagging is carried out on pools of high-fitness colonies as well as pools of low-fitness colonies to enable mapping of the defect to a small segment of synthetic DNA. The purple-shaded region "b" in the PCR indicates the region containing the "bug." (**B**) The insertion of a loxPsym site in the 3' UTR of *YJR120W* disrupts expression of neighboring gene *ATP2*, leading to a growth defect on the nonfermentable carbon source glycerol/ethanol (YPGE).

synX as compared to native chromosome X (Fig. 4A). Genome sequencing, structural variation analysis, and plots of read depth revealed multiple regions on synX with amplifications of complex structure (Fig. 4B). qPCR to evaluate the copy number of genes located in each of the triplicated regions suggested that they arose during transformation with megachunk C (Fig. 4C). Analysis of junction sequences suggested a tandem duplication for the structure of the triplicated regions (Fig. 4B and fig. S10A). The aberrant junctions underlying the amplifications and their position relative to the incoming fragments during integrative transformation suggested that homologous recombination occurred at near-terminal loxPsym sites in one case and nonhomologous recombination occurred at the Not I sites at the minichunk termini in the other case. Other amplification structures in megachunk D and E were also analyzed, and qPCR indicated that the duplications occurred during megachunk E transformation (fig. S10, B and C). Structural rearrangements mediated by loxPsym sites and cohesive end termini were also seen in synII and synV (19, 20).

Meiotic crossover was employed to repair the amplifications of synthetic DNA in synX(A-R) (Fig. 4D). The selectable marker *URA3* was inserted adjacent to the amplified region in synX, while a second semisynthetic chrX strain (yYW0098), which had a single copy of the amplified regions

that extended from the left arm up to megachunk F and *LEU2* as a selectable marker inserted between the synthetic and wild-type regions, was constructed. Synthetic megachunk F (~50 kb) served as the homologous region for crossover of the two chromosome X. After mating the two strains and sporulating, spores unable to grow on medium lacking uracil and medium lacking leucine were selected from tetrads (fig. S10D). One out of four tetrads showed crossover events in the region of interest. The deletion of the amplified region was verified by using junction primers and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis (Fig. 4, A and E, and fig. S10E). Finally, one out of six of the Ura<sup>-</sup>Leu<sup>-</sup> spores had all the synthetic PCRTags, and genome sequencing verified the presence of singlecopy DNA across all previously amplified regions.

Synthetic yeast chromosome X was chemically synthesized from scratch, which is a rigorous, incremental step toward the complete synthesis of the whole yeast genome. Thousands of designer modifications in synX, along with the massive duplication and the absence of native 2-micron plasmids in the draft synX strain, revealed the extensive flexibility of the yeast genome. However, one of the most challenging and time-consuming processes in a genome-scale DNA synthesis is debugging, considering that none of the modifications are permitted to substantially decrease the cell growth and fitness. We developed an efficient mapping method called PoPM to identify unexpected bugs during genome synthesis. By means of the debugging process, several details of yeast biology were uncovered, including the effects of unwittingly introducing a loxPsym site in the 3' UTR of *YJR120W* affecting promoter function of the nearby gene *ATP2*, and the identification of a putative binding site for transcription factor Rap1p in the recoded essential gene *FIP1*. Use of a competitive growth assay established that the debugged synX strain exhibits high fitness.

The yeast 2.0 genome is being designed and built by chemical synthesis, but many phenotypes are not readily mapped to the underlying gene(s), especially complex phenotypes related to multiple genes. Considering that numerous gene-associated PCRTags are present in synthetic chromosomes, PoPM may represent a powerful tool for mapping interesting phenotypes of mutated synthetic strains or even mutated wild-type strains to the relevant genes. It may also be useful for studying yeast genetic interactions when an unexpected phenotype is generated by alterations in two or more genes. Thus, PoPM can substantially expand the understanding of yeast genomic and cellular functions. In addition, the PoPM method is also likely to be very useful for mapping phenotype(s) resulting from the genome SCRaMbLE system.

#### Materials and methods SynX design

SynX was produced in silico with the genomeediting suite BioStudio (fig. S1)\_(10). Three design principles were defined as follows: first, a synthetic chromosome should result in a (near) wild-type phenotype and fitness; second, a synthetic chromosome should lack destabilizing elements such as tRNA genes or transposons; and third, a synthetic chromosome should have genetic flexibility to facilitate future studies (7). We updated three versions of synX in silico after the debugging process from yeast\_chr10\_3\_37 to yeast\_chr10\_3\_40 (table S9). The length of synX (version: yeast\_chr10\_3\_40) is 707,459 bp, which is 38,292 bp shorter than wild-type chromosome X.

#### SynX synthesis and assembly

The designed synX sequence was divided into 18 megachunks (30 to 60 kb of synthetic DNA) and then further divided into 171 minichunks of ~5 kb (table S1). All minichunks were synthesized by providers (Genewiz and Life Technologies). Adjacent minichunks overlapped by 400 bp, and the last minichunks of each megachunk contain alternating selectable marker (*URA3* or *LEU2*), except the last megachunk R. With an average of 10 minichunks and alternating selectable marker in each incorporation, the native chromosome X was systematically replaced by its synthetic counterpart in 18 successive rounds of transformation (fig. S2).

# Yeast colony PCR for rapid screening of WT and SYN PCRTags

Yeast cells from single colonies were resuspended in 50  $\mu$ l of 20 mM NaOH followed by three cycles (99°C for 60 s, 4°C for 60 s) in a thermocycler;

 $1\,\mu l$  of this mix was used as a template with regular PCR mix and for performing PCR cycles.

## Yeast genomic DNA preparation for PCRTag analysis

Yeast cells from single colonies were resuspended in 100  $\mu$ l of 200 mM LiOAc–1% SDS and incubated at 70°C for 15 min. A volume of 300  $\mu$ l of 100% ethanol was added, and the suspension was vortexed for 30 s. DNA was collected at 15,000 rpm for 3 min and then dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l of Tris-HCI-EDTA buffer. Cell debris was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 1 min, and 1  $\mu$ l of supernatant was used for regular PCR or 10 nl for 384-well PCR.

#### Complete set of PCRTags analyses of synX

At each of the intermediate incorporation steps, as well as on completion of synX, PCRTag analysis (table S3) revealed the presence of synthetic PCRTags and absence of native PCRTags (fig. S3). Amplification of PCRTags was performed with GoTaq Hot Start Polymerase Master Mixes (Promega, Madison, WI) with 400 nM each of forward and reverse primers, and synX genomic DNA in a 2.5-µl final reaction volume. All PCR reagents were delivered in 384-well PCR plates with an automated dispenser (GoTaq Master Mixes were dispensed by a Cobra liquid handling system, Art Robbins Instruments; primers and genomic DNA were dispensed by an Echo 550 Liquid Handler, Labcyte). Touchdown PCR was performed as follows: 95°C for 3 min; 20 cycles of (95°C for 30 s, 64°C for 20 s, -0.3°C per cycle, 72°C for 30 s), followed by 15 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension of 72°C for 5 min.

#### Yeast genomic DNA preparation for DNA sequencing; RNA isolation from yeast for RNA sequencing; nucleotide sequence analysis of synX; RNA-Seq analysis of synX

These experiments were performed as described in a previous study (8).

#### Dilution assay on various types of media

Yeast strains were grown for 24 hours in YPD at 30°C with rotation. Saturated cultures were diluted 10,000-fold in water, and 12 µl of diluted cultures were plated onto each type of medium (YPD, SC, and YPGE). Plates were incubated at 30°C or 37°C for 2 days (YPD and SC) or 4 days (YPGE). YPGE was prepared with 3% (v/v) glycerol and 3% ethanol. YPD, yeast extract peptone dextrose; SC, synthetic complete medium; YPGE, yeast extract peptone glycerol ethanol.

# Serial dilution assay on various types of media

Yeast strains were grown overnight in YPD at 30°C with rotation. Cultures were serially diluted in 10-fold increments in water and plated onto each type of medium (YPD, SC, and YPGE). Plates were incubated at 30°C for 2 days (YPD) or 4 days (YPGE).

#### Cell morphology

Cells were grown to mid-log phase in YPD medium at 30°C. Bright-field images were collected with an Olympus CX41 microscope.

#### Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

Full-length yeast chromosomes were prepared in agarose plugs as described previously (29). The karyotype of wild-type strain BY4741 was used as the control. A 1% gel was prepared with low-melting point agarose (Lonza, 50100). Chromosomes were separated by clamped homogeneous electric field (CHEF) gel electrophoresis by using the CHEF-DR III Pulsed Field Electrophoresis Systems (Bio-Rad) with the following settings: 6 V/cm, switch time 60 to 120 s over 24 hours, 14°C,  $0.5 \times$  Tris-borate–EDTA buffer. Gels were stained with 5 µg of ethidium bromide per milliliter of buffer solution and washed three times before being imaged.

## Copy-number analysis in duplication regions

Semi-synX(A-C), semi-synX(A-E), synX(A-R), and control (BY4741) strain were grown overnight at 30°C in 5 ml of YPD. Genomic DNA was prepared following the protocol "Yeast genomic DNA preparation for DNA sequencing." DNA was eluted in 50  $\mu$ l of water. Primers were designed by using the PrimeQuest Design tool on the IDT website and are listed in table S6. Primers were selected to anneal outside PCRTag sequences and, thus, amplify both synthetic and native DNA. qPCR reactions were carried out in a final volume of 4  $\mu$ l by using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad; 172-5272). Each reaction included 75 nl of cDNA and 50 nl of forward/ reverse primers mix (50  $\mu$ M each) introduced into each well of a Hard-Shell Thin-Wall 384-Well Skirted PCR Plate (Bio-Rad; HSP- 3905) by using the Echo (LabCyte). Gene expression analysis was performed by using the CFX Software Manager (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed on technical triplicates and data were analyzed by using two reference genes (*TAF10* and *UBC6*).

## mRNA expression analysis of ATP2 and FIP1

mRNA expression analysis was performed as described in a previous report (*30*). Strains were grown overnight at  $30^{\circ}$ C in 5 ml of YPD. RNA was prepared from 250 µl of culture by using the RNeasy Minikit (Qiagen; catalog no. 74106) per the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, cells were lysed enzymatically, and eluted RNA was

Downloaded from http://science.sciencemag.org/ on March 10, 2017



**Fig. 3. PCRTag in** *FIP1* **causes a growth defect. (A)** The reverse (R) synthetic PCRTag recoded within *YJR093C* (*FIP1*) causes a growth defect. (**B**) *FIP1* SYN PCRTag-R introduces a Rap1p recognition site. Percentages indicate similarity of *FIP1* SYN PCRTag-R to known Rap1p binding sites. Red letters show differences between synthetic and native PCRTag-R sequences. (C) Growth assay and relative *FIP1* RNA expression of codon-by-codon swap strains in *FIP1* SYN PCRTag-R. Red letters represent SYN-specific bases; underlined letters represent restored WT codons. RNA level is quantified relative to WT *FIP1*.

### Fig. 4. Large duplications and rearrangements and restoration to desired structure.

(A) Karyotypic analysis of synX(A-R), yYW0077 and corrected synX strain, yYW0115 by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. (B) Two large duplications and rearrangements occurred in the synX(A-R) strain. Sequencing coverage of synX(A-R) strain revealed two large duplications of synthetic fragments. The Notl\* site and loxPsvm site mediated junction of duplicated segments. (C) Massive duplications and rearrangements occurred during integrative transformation. Intermediate assembly strain semi-synX(A-C) (yYW0007) and synX(A-R) have identical copy numbers in the duplicated region. (D) Meiotic crossover to generate a synX strain lacking amplified segments. (E) Verification of the absence of duplication regions in synX strain yYW0115 by means of junction primers.



BY4741 synX(A-R) synX

treated with deoxyribonuclease (DNase) (Qiagen: catalog no. 79254) in solution before passage over a second column and eluted in 30 µl of water. cDNA was prepared from ~5 µg of RNA by using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen; catalog no. 18080044) per the manufacturer's instructions. Complete digestion of gDNA was confirmed in a control qPCR experiment lacking reverse transcriptase by using 100 ng of prepared RNA and two different primer pairs corresponding to the reference genes (UBC6 and TAF10). Primers were designed with the Prime-Quest Design and are listed in table S6. qPCR reactions were carried out as described above. Each reaction included 75 nl of cDNA and 50 nl of forward/reverse primers mix (50 µM each) introduced into each well of a Hard-Shell Thin-Wall 384-Well Skirted PCR Plate (Bio-Rad: HSP-3905) by using the Echo (LabCyte). Gene expression analysis was performed by using the CFX Software Manager (Bio-Rad). For the ATP2 experiment, qPCR was performed on technical triplicates, and data were analyzed by using a single reference gene (TAF10). For the FIP1 experiment, qPCR was performed on technical triplicates, and data were analyzed by using two reference genes (*TAF10* and *UBC6*).

#### Integration of tR(CCU)J into HO locus

The wild-type tR(CCU)J tRNA gene, with 380 bp of upstream sequence and 100 bp of downstream sequence, was amplified with primers tR(CCU)J-HO-Bsa I (table S6). The PCR product was assembled into *HO* integration plasmid pSIB843 (from the Boeke lab) by using yeast Golden Gate (*31*). The tR(CCU)J-URA3 fragment flanked by 500 bp of homologous *HO* genomic sequence was integrated into the *HO* locus to generate synX strains from yYW0113 to yYW0115.

## Replacement of residual wild-type sequence

Three residual wild-type regions were observed after genome sequencing of strain yYW0077. We subsequently replaced the wild-type sequence with their synthetic counterparts. First, we deleted the wild-type sequence by integrating *URA3*; second, we removed *URA3* by incorporating the corresponding synthetic minichunk, using medium with 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) to screen for the loss of *URA3*. Three wild-type regions were replaced successively (fig. S7A).

#### Incorporation of the synthetic YJR092W4 into synX by cotransformation of minichunk O-5 with integration of tR(CCU)J-URA3 into HO locus

PCRTag analysis revealed that the synthetic YJR092W4 was not originally incorporated into the synX strain yYW0113, which was confirmed by whole-genome sequencing. However, we were able to replace the wild-type YJR092W4 fragment by cotransforming minichunk O-5 with tR(CCU)J-URA3 fragment, which allowed two necessary integrations with one selective marker. tR(CCU)J-URA3 with *HO* homology fragment, along with a 10-fold molar excess of minichunk O-5 fragment, was transformed into strain yYW0113 and plated on SC-Ura medium. By using yeast colony PCR, transformants were screened to confirm both integrations (fig. S7B).

#### Mating-type change

Yeast strain vYW0094, semi-237 kb-svnX(A-F), was transformed with HO gene plasmid pJDE152 (from the Boeke lab) and selected on SC-Ura medium. Single colonies were restreaked on a SC-Ura plate and incubated for 2 days at 30°C. Single colonies from restreaked plates were cultured in YPD liquid medium for 24 hours at 30°C and spread on 5-FOA to select strains that had lost the plasmid. Single colonies were restreaked on YPD plates and incubated for 2 days at 30°C.The mating type of different colonies were tested by using mating-type tester yeast strains or mating-type test primers (table S6). Diploid strains that were unable to mate with either MATa Tester or MATa Tester strains were sporulated to generate four spores with 2:2 MATa to  $MAT\alpha$ .

## Competitive growth assay of synX with native strain BY4741

GFP-labeled BY4741 and RFP-labeled synX strain were cocultured in 5 ml of YPD at 30°C with overnight cultures diluted to an initial absorbance at 600 nm ( $A_{600}$ ) of 0.05. Competitive growth mixtures were diluted by 1:200 in 5 ml of fresh YPD every 24 hours for 72 hours. Competitive growth assay was performed on technical triplicates. At each 24-hour time point, samples were analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Accuri C6) to count for RFP-positive and GFP-positive cells. A total of 30,000 events were counted in each sample. The gates for GFP and RFP populations were set by FlowJo (Single cell Analysis Software) autogate. Relative growth rate was calculated from the ratio of synX to BY4741 at each time point.

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- M. Johnston, Genome sequencing: The complete code for a eukaryotic cell. *Curr. Biol.* 6, 500–503 (1996). doi: 10.1016/ S0960-9822(02)00526-2; pmid: 8805271
- A. H. Tong *et al.*, Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants. *Science* **294**, 2364–2368 (2001). doi: 10.1126/science.1065810; pmid: 11743205
- A. Breitkreutz *et al.*, A global protein kinase and phosphatase interaction network in yeast. *Science* **328**, 1043–1046 (2010). doi: 10.1126/science.1176495; pmid: 20489023
- P. Picotti *et al.*, A complete mass-spectrometric map of the yeast proteome applied to quantitative trait analysis. *Nature* 494, 266–270 (2013). doi: 10.1038/nature11835; pmid: 23334424
- S. Ghaemmaghami et al., Global analysis of protein expression in yeast. Nature 425, 737–741 (2003). doi: 10.1038/ nature02046; pmid: 14562106

- M. Baker, Synthetic genomes: The next step for the synthetic genome. *Nature* 473, 403–408, 405–408 (2011). doi: 10.1038/473403a; pmid: 21593873
- J. S. Dymond *et al.*, Synthetic chromosome arms function in yeast and generate phenotypic diversity by design. *Nature* 477, 471–476 (2011). doi: 10.1038/nature10403; pmid: 21918511
- N. Annaluru et al., Total synthesis of a functional designer eukaryotic chromosome. Science 344, 55–58 (2014). doi: 10.1126/science.1249252; pmid: 24674868
- F. Galibert *et al.*, Complete nucleotide sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome X. *EMBO J.* 15, 2031–2049 (1996). pmid: 8641269
- S. M. Richardson *et al.*, Design of a synthetic yeast genome. Science 355, 1040–1044 (2017).
- A. H. Yona *et al.*, tRNA genes rapidly change in evolution to meet novel translational demands. *eLife* 2, e01339 (2013). doi: 10.7554/eLife.01339; pmid: 24363105
- J. Dymond, J. Boeke, The Saccharomyces cerevisiae SCRaMbLE system and genome minimization. Bioeng. Bugs 3, 168–171 (2012). pmid: 22572789
- Y. Shen et al., SCRaMbLE generates designed combinatorial stochastic diversity in synthetic chromosomes. *Genome Res.* 26, 36–49 (2016). doi: 10.1101/gr.193433.115; pmid: 26566658
- L. A. Mitchell *et al.*, Synthesis, debugging, and effects of synthetic chromosome consolidation: synVI and beyond. *Science* **355**, eaaf4831 (2017).
- A. A. Falcon, N. Rios, J. P. Aris, 2-micron circle plasmids do not reduce yeast life span. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **250**, 245–251 (2005). doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.07.018; pmid: 16085372
- B. Futcher, E. Reid, D. A. Hickey, Maintenance of the 2 micron circle plasmid of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by sexual transmission: An example of a selfish DNA. *Genetics* **118**, 411–415 (1988). pmid: 3284783
- D. K. Breslow *et al.*, A comprehensive strategy enabling highresolution functional analysis of the yeast genome. *Nat. Methods* 5, 711–718 (2008). doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1234; pmid: 18622397
- W. Zhang et al., Engineering the ribosomal DNA in a megabase synthetic chromosome. Science 355, eaaf3981 (2017).
- Z.-X. Xie *et al.*, "Perfect" designer chromosome V and behavior of a ring derivative. *Science* 355, eaaf4704 (2017).
- Y. Shen *et al.*, Deep functional analysis of synll, a 770-kilobase synthetic yeast chromosome. *Science* 355, eaaf4791 (2017).
- J. Saltzgaber-Muller, S. P. Kunapuli, M. G. Douglas, Nuclear genes coding the yeast mitochondrial adenosine triphosphatase complex. Isolation of ATP2 coding the F1-ATPase beta subunit. *J. Biol. Chem.* **258**, 11465–11470 (1983). pmdi: 6225776
- X. Pan et al., A DNA integrity network in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell 124, 1069–1081 (2006). doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.036; pmid: 16487579
- T. Ben-Shitrit *et al.*, Systematic identification of gene annotation errors in the widely used yeast mutation collections. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 373–378 (2012). doi: 10.1038/ nmeth.1890; pmid: 22306811
- F. Z. Idrissi, B. Piña, Functional divergence between the halfsites of the DNA-binding sequence for the yeast transcriptional regulator Rap1p. *Biochem. J.* **341**, 477–482 (1999). doi: 10.1042/bj3410477; pmid: 10417308
- I. R. Graham, A. Chambers, Use of a selection technique to identify the diversity of binding sites for the yeast RAP1 transcription factor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 124–130 (1994). doi: 10.1093/nar/22.2.124; pmid: 8121795

- J. D. Lieb, X. Liu, D. Botstein, P. O. Brown, Promoter-specific binding of Rap1 revealed by genome-wide maps of protein-DNA association. *Nat. Genet.* 28, 327–334 (2001). doi: 10.1038/ ng569; pmid: 11455386
- R. M. Yarrington, S. M. Richardson, C. R. Lisa Huang, J. D. Boeke, Novel transcript truncating function of Rap1p revealed by synthetic codon-optimized Ty1 retrotransposon. *Genetics* 190, 523–535 (2012). doi: 10.1534/ genetics.111.136648; pmid: 22135353
- D. Shore, K. Nasmyth, Purification and cloning of a DNA binding protein from yeast that binds to both silencer and activator elements. *Cell* **51**, 721–732 (1987). doi: 10.1016/ 0092-8674(87)90095-X; pmid: 3315231
- D. C. Schwartz, C. R. Cantor, Separation of yeast chromosomesized DNAs by pulsed field gradient gel electrophoresis. *Cell* 37, 67–75 (1984). doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(84)90301-5; pmid: 6373014
- L. A. Mitchell, J. D. Boeke, Circular permutation of a synthetic eukaryotic chromosome with the telomerator. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 17003–17010 (2014). doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1414399111; pmid: 25378705
- N. Agmon et al., Yeast Golden Gate (yGG) for the Efficient Assembly of S. cerevisiae Transcription Units. ACS Synth. Biol. 4, 853–859 (2015). doi: 10.1021/sb500372z; pmid: 25756291

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work in Tianjin University was funded by "863" program: 2012AA02A708, "973" program: 2014CB745100, and international cooperative project: 2015DFA00960 from the Ministry of Science and Technology, and China National Natural Science Foundation of China: 21390203 and 21621004. U.S. research was funded by U.S. NSF grants MCB-1026068, MCB-1158201 to J.D.B. and MCB-1445545 to J.S.B., and U.S. Department of Energy DE-FG02097ER25308 to S.M.R., J.D.B. and J.S.B. are founders and directors of Neochromosome Inc. J.D.B. serves as a scientific advisor to Recombinetics Inc. and Sample6, Inc. These arrangements are reviewed and managed by the committees on Conflict of interest at NYU Langone Medical Center (J.D.B.) and Johns Hopkins University (J.S.B.). U.K. research was funded by a Chancellor's Fellowship from the University of Edinburgh, a start-up fund from Scottish Universities Life Sciences Alliance (SULSA), and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Counci grants BB/M005690/1, BB/M025640/1, and BB/ M00029X/1 to Y.C. We thank A. Heguy and the staff at NYU's Genome Technology Center for outstanding deep-sequencing services. Additional information (synX design diagram, PCRTag sequences, Feature summary table (wild-type X, designed synX, physical strain yYW0115; yeast\_chr10\_9\_01), Variants in physical strain (yeast\_chr10\_9\_01), Minichunk plasmids, PCR primers) related to synX can be accessed on the Sc2.0 website http:// syntheticyeast.org, under main menu Sc2.0→Data. All genomic data for this paper are available under the Sc2.0 umbrella BioProject accession number PRJNA351844. Requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-J. Yuan (yjyuan@tju.edu.cn).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/content/355/6329/eaaf4706/suppl/DC1 Figs. S1 to S10

Tables S1 to S6

16 February 2016; accepted 1 February 2017 10.1126/science.aaf4706



Bug mapping and fitness testing of chemically synthesized chromosome X Yi Wu, Bing-Zhi Li, Meng Zhao, Leslie A. Mitchell, Ze-Xiong Xie, Qiu-Hui Lin, Xia Wang, Wen-Hai Xiao, Ying Wang, Xiao Zhou, Hong Liu, Xia Li, Ming-Zhu Ding, Duo Liu, Lu Zhang, Bao-Li Liu, Xiao-Le Wu, Fei-Fei Li, Xiu-Tao Dong, Bin Jia, Wen-Zheng Zhang, Guo-Zhen Jiang, Yue Liu, Xue Bai, Tian-Qing Song, Yan Chen, Si-Jie Zhou, Rui-Ying Zhu, Feng Gao, Zheng Kuang, Xuya Wang, Michael Shen, Kun Yang, Giovanni Stracquadanio, Sarah M. Richardson, Yicong Lin, Lihui Wang, Roy Walker, Yisha Luo, Ping-Sheng Ma, Huanming Yang, Yizhi Cai, Junbiao Dai, Joel S. Bader, Jef D. Boeke and Ying-Jin Yuan (March 9, 2017) *Science* **355** (6329), . [doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4706]

Editor's Summary

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

Article Tools	Visit the online version of this article to access the personalization and article tools: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/355/6329/eaaf4706
Permissions	Obtain information about reproducing this article: http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl

*Science* (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2016 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.